ember, 1986 directed the Collector, Central Excise, Patna to issue show cause notices pertaining to administrative charges on M/s. ITC Limited on the basis of the admission made by the company; and

(b) whether the show cause notices have been served on M/s. ITC Limited, if so, what are the details thereof stating the latest position with regard to the recovery made and details of show cause notices, if any, issued by other jurisdictional collectors?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) The Collector of Central Excise, Patna had already decided to issue a show cause notice to M/s. I.T.C. Limited regarding duty payable on "Administrative Charges". The Central Board of Excise and Customs vide telex dated 8.12.86 merely directed the Collector to expedite the issue of the said show cause notice.

(b) Yes, Sir. The Collector of Central Excise, Patna has already served a copy of the show cause notice pertaining to "Administrative Charges" on M/s. I.T.C. Ltd. Show cause notices on the same issue have also been served on M/s. ITC Limited by four other Central Excise Collectorates namely, Calcutta-I, Meerut, Bombay-I and Bangalore. The particulars of these cases, indicating the present position, are given in the enclosed Statement.

Statement

Sr. No.	Name of Collectorate	Date of Show Cause Notice	Amount involved (Rs. in crore)	Present position
1	Bombay-I	13-4-87	2.34	Pending adjudication before Collector of Central Excise, Calcutta-I.
2	Bangalore	25-9-87	3.9	Do.
3	Calcutta-I	26-3-87/ 7-10-87/ 27-7-88	Not yet quantified	Do.
4	Meerut	27-3-87	Do.	Do.
5	Patna	7-1-87	Do.	Do.

Excise duty evasion cases against M/s. I.T.C. Limited

1685. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA:
SHRI MOHINDRA SINGH LATHER:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that on 10th April, 1986 the DG Inspection under the Central Board of Excise and Customs passed an adjudication order in respect of various show cause notices issued by the Collectorate of Central Excise pertaining to various units of M/s. ITC Limited on account of differential rate of duty and that Government obtained legal opinion of the Law Ministry with regard to the methodology of calculation of differential rate of duty on 20th January, 1987;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for inordinate delay in effecting recovery

of the outstanding dues amounting to Rs. 80.30 crore; and

(c) the manner in which Government propose to recover the dues without further delay?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI AJIT PANJA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) M/s. ITC Limited have filed a writ petition in the Allahabad High Court challenging the demand for Rs. 80.30 crores and the writ petition is yet to come up for hearing.

(c) Recovery of dues is a continuous process. Legal administrative and other steps as are considered necessary from time to time are taken to recover the dues.

Mobilisation of Deposit by Banks

1686. SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total deposit mobilised by the scheduled commercial banks, State-wise as at the end of March, 1988;

(b) what is the total credit advanced, State-wise during the same period;

(c) what is the State-wise credit-deposit ratio during the same period;

(d) whether in this sphere some States and regions are lagging behind others; and

(e) if so, what are the factors responsible for the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) to (c) State/Region-wise deposits, advances and credit deposit ratio of all scheduled commercial banks as on the last Friday of March, 1988 are set out in the Statement. [*See* Appendix CXLVIII, Annexure No. 78.]

(d) and (e) The inter-State/Regional disparities in the credit: deposit ratio are on account of different level of economic development, supporting infrastructure for various activities, entrepreneurship and the extent of incentives offered, which in turn influence the credit absorption capacity of the area.

Inactivity of Lodhi Colony Police Station

1687. SHRI DIPEN GHOSH:
SHRI M. A. BABY:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the letter published in Indian Express of the 28th June, 1988 regarding inactivity of Lodi Colony Police Station when two foreigners complained about robbery by a scooter driver on June 8, 1988; and

(b) if so, what action was initiated against the erring police officers and the efforts made to apprehend the scooter driver?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) On 8-6-88, two foreigners (women) reported to the Police that they had hired a three wheeler scooter from Palam Airport to Palika Niwas, and had forgotton to unload their bag, which contained some cash and vital travel documents etc. The registration number of the Scooter was not disclosed by them. A case to this effect was registered at Police Station Lodhi Colony and the Police Officer on duty immediately informed that Police Control Room and the Crime Record Office. After a few days, they informed the Police Station Lodhi Colony that they have received some articles by post. The Police Control Room, and Crime Re-